

# Anti-Money Laundering Operations Management

Effective Outsourcing Strategies

NEXUS **AML**



# INTRODUCTION

Outsourcing is a strategic component of modern financial operations, enabling an organisation to obtain expertise and specialist support without having to recruit and manage an internal team. By leveraging external expertise and resources, financial institutions can focus on their core competencies while entrusting specific operational challenges to specialised providers.

The complexities and escalating costs associated with Anti-Money Laundering compliance make outsourcing an attractive option. Financial institutions face growing regulatory pressures that demand both thoroughness and agility in their Anti-Money Laundering strategies as well as increasing cost pressure. Outsourcing helps financial institutions to achieve these goals by bringing in specialised knowledge and scalable solutions that adapt swiftly to changing requirements.

When financial institutions choose to outsource, they are not just delegating tasks; they are engaging in a practice that forces stricter control and a clearer definition of processes. Outsourcing compels organisations to communicate their risk appetite, policy and operating procedures to a third party who will be contracted to meet those requirements.

## INSOURCE VS OUTSOURCE

Deciding whether to buy services or recruit in-house teams is a critical choice for financial institution managing their Anti-Money Laundering operations. This section delves into the trade-offs between these options, particularly in terms of contractual risk, operational flexibility, and cost management.



## CONTRACTUAL RISKS AND ANALYST MANAGEMENT

Financial institutions have internal operating models that guide their decisions to in-source, outsource, or adopt a hybrid approach for their Anti-Money Laundering operations. While the choice between these models rests with each firm, it's crucial to carefully weigh the implications of managing Anti-Money Laundering operations entirely in-house versus leveraging outsourcing firms.

When financial institutions choose to outsource Anti-Money Laundering functions, they remain accountable for the risk, but in a well-managed outsourcing with a professional firm they transfer a significant portion of the operational and legal risk to the outsourcing provider. This arrangement can lead to several advantages:

### Legal and Tax Compliance

By outsourcing, financial institutions can avoid the direct legal risks associated with employment, such as compliance with labour laws and employment taxes, as this is managed by the outsourcing firm. This can be particularly beneficial for financial institutions that operate in multiple jurisdictions, where employment law can vary significantly, and non-compliance risks are heightened.

### Reduced Management Burden

Outsourcing shifts the responsibility of managing staff from the financial institutions to the service provider. This includes not only the day-to-day management but also the strategic oversight of People functions such as hiring, training, and performance evaluations.



**OUTSOURCE COSTS**

**INSOURCE COSTS**

One, clear cost

Benefits

Payroll

Finance

HR management  
overhead

## ENFORCING SERVICE LEVEL AGREEMENTS (SLAS)

Service Level Agreements (SLAs) play a crucial role in the outsourcing framework, acting as a formal agreement that defines the level of service expected from the outsourcing provider. These agreements are critical for maintaining quality and accountability in outsourced operations.

SLAs establish clear performance metrics and benchmarks that the service provider is contractually obligated to meet, unlike internal teams where performance metrics might be less formally applied and can vary significantly depending on internal policies and managerial discretion. These metrics typically cover aspects such as productivity, average handle times and quality. Clear and measurable key risk indicators that are regularly reported ensure both parties understand the expectations and the basis for performance evaluation.

With SLAs, financial institutions can hold outsourcing providers accountable for their performance. If service providers fail to meet the agreed-upon standards, financial institutions have the contractual right to enforce compliance and request remediation. This might include financial penalties, service credits, or other corrective actions as stipulated in the SLA. This structure incentivises the provider to maintain high standards of service delivery.

SLAs also facilitate ongoing performance management and provide a basis for regular reviews between the financial institutions and the service provider. These reviews help to ensure that the outsourced services remain aligned with the financial institutions evolving needs and regulatory requirements. They also provide an opportunity to address any issues in a structured manner, ensuring continuous improvement in service delivery.

# TRUE COST OF EMPLOYEES VS FIXED COST OF OUTSOURCING

When financial institutions consider outsourcing Anti-Money Laundering functions, a major consideration is the true cost of hiring and maintaining an internal team and the overall financial management of operational costs. This decision between employing an in-house team and outsourcing involves not just the visible costs but also those that are often hidden or not directly attributed to the department.

## THE REAL COSTS OF AN INTERNAL TEAM

In-house teams typically incur a mix of visible and hidden costs:

### Visible Costs

These include salaries, benefits, and other compensation-related expenses that are clearly defined and attributed to the team.

### Hidden Costs

These are often less visible and include support functions such as HR, IT, facilities management, and administrative services. These costs are not always allocated to specific teams in clear terms, leading to unallocated costs that can obscure the real expense of maintaining in-house operations.

When financial institutions compare internal costs vs outsourcing costs, they normally only factor in visible costs, which can lead to an underestimation of the real cost. Outsourcing, on the other hand, converts these varied and hidden costs into a single, fixed cost. This simplifies accounting and provides financial institutions with a clear picture of real expenditure related to Anti-Money Laundering functions.

## MAKING EFFECTIVE COMMERCIAL DECISIONS

With a clearer cost structure, financial institutions can make more informed and effective commercial decisions. Outsourcing allows for precise budgeting and financial planning, as the costs are predefined in the service agreement. This transparency enables better resource allocation and financial management, enhancing the institution's ability to plan and execute its operational strategy

## LEVERAGING EXPERTISE AND ORGANISATIONAL FOCUS

Outsourcing enables financial institutions to focus on value adding activities and growth by entrusting certain Anti-Money Laundering functions to firms who have become specialists in these skillsets by repeating these processes for multiple clients. This approach allows financial institutions to:

### Concentrate on Growth

Financial institutions can focus on areas where they excel, leaving routine functions to those with the expertise and technology to manage them effectively.

### Maintain Agility

Outsourcing partners can adapt to changes more swiftly than in-house teams. They can scale operations up or down whereas the financial institutions would need to engage in time-consuming recruitment or

## SCALABILITY AND RESOURCE ALLOCATION

One of the most significant advantages of outsourcing is the ability to scale resources according to demand:

**Scalable Resources:** Outsourcing firms can quickly adjust the number of resources based on the financial institution's needs, which is invaluable for managing fluctuating demand.

**Mitigating Risk of Backlogs:** By ensuring that resource levels appropriately match the workload, outsourcing helps prevent the risk of backlogs and delays. This is often challenging with inhouse teams, where scaling operations can be hindered by recruitment delays or budget constraints

## CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the balance between employing in-house teams versus outsourcing involves considering not only the visible costs but also those that are hidden. Outsourcing Anti-Money Laundering operations offers fixed and visible costs, enhanced scalability and agility, and the ability to focus on core business functions, making it an attractive option for financial institutions aiming to streamline operations and optimise cost-efficiency. Additionally, adopting a hybrid model that combines the strengths of both in-house teams and outsourced services can offer flexibility and a balance of control and expertise. This approach allows financial institutions to maintain essential functions internally while leveraging the scalability and specialised capabilities of external providers where needed.

## SUCCESSFUL OUTSOURCING

Outsourcing Anti-Money Laundering functions is a strategic decision that requires adherence to several core principles to ensure accountability, governance, and transparency. This section outlines the foundational principles that guide effective outsourcing practices for financial institutions.

While it is reasonable to anticipate that suppliers will manage certain operational challenges or "bumps," financial institutions must recognise that the intrinsic risks associated with their service delivery, such as issues stemming from non-responsive clients, should predominantly remain within their purview. Ultimately it is for the financial institutions to decide on retaining or exiting customers and their risk appetite.

This understanding ensures that while suppliers are leveraged for their expertise and operational capabilities, the core accountability and decisions on risk management still reside with the financial institutions.

## SPECIALIST VS GENERALIST FIRMS

When considering outsourcing for Anti-Money Laundering operations, the choice of provider is as critical as the decision to outsource itself. While large, generalist outsourcing companies may offer a broad range of services and seemingly attractive pricing models, they often lack the specialised expertise required to effectively handle the complexities of financial crime compliance.

Financial crime compliance is a nuanced field that demands deep understanding of evolving regulations, sophisticated criminal methodologies, and sector-specific risks. Generalist firms may not have dedicated teams with the necessary expertise, leading to inadequate identification of suspicious activities.

Furthermore, specialist firms focus on continuous training and development of their staff to maintain high levels of proficiency. Generalist outsourcing companies may not offer the same level of focused training, leading to a workforce that is ill-prepared to manage Anti-Money Laundering tasks effectively.

## FIRM ACCOUNTABILITY

While outsourcing Anti-Money Laundering functions can shift some operational responsibilities to external providers, the ultimate accountability remains with the financial institutions. It is crucial for financial institutions to understand that the responsibility for compliance and risk management cannot be entirely transferred to an outsourcing provider. Financial institutions retain the ultimate accountability for ensuring that their Anti-Money Laundering obligations are met.

However, although accountability remains in-house, outsourcing can actually enhance a financial institution's ability to exercise control over Anti-Money Laundering functions. With structured SLAs and oversight mechanisms, financial institutions can maintain stringent control over the outsourced activities, ensuring they align with regulatory requirements and internal standards.

## GOVERNANCE REQUIRED

Effective governance is essential to manage and oversee outsourcing relationships and financial institutions need to demonstrate control over their outsourcing arrangements to meet regulatory standards.

The financial institution needs to know that the outsourcing provider understands the nuances of the financial institution's business and can provide the required services. On both parts, there needs to be a clear understanding of the quality and delivery requirements of the outsourced services, what needs to be escalated and when.

Outsourcing requires clarity on the activities that are in-scope and when the outsourcing firm can share live MI dashboards with the financial institution to create one source of truth, this allows for clarity on KPIs which can simplify reporting for governance purposes. Financial institutions can therefore monitor performance closely and make informed decisions based on accurate and timely information.

## TRANSPARENCY IN PROCESSES AND PROCEDURES

Transparency in how outsourced functions explain their procedures and processes is critical to effectively manage outsource providers. It is essential for financial institutions to fully understand and articulate the processes and procedures that are being outsourced and this understanding helps ensure that the outsourcing aligns with the financial institution's overall operational and compliance framework.

Proper change control mechanisms should be in place to ensure that any adjustments in the outsourcing arrangements are properly managed. This includes maintaining regulatory traceability and ensuring both the financial institution and the outsourcing provider can adapt safely and effectively to changes. This necessitates a robust governance framework that delineates clear responsibilities and processes agreed upon with outsourcing suppliers, ensuring seamless coordination between the supplier and financial institution.

## PAY PER CASE – ALIGNMENT OF OUTCOMES

Today's pricing structures, largely driven by time and materials, do not always ensure that AntiMoney Laundering operations analyst's objectives with those of their firms or financial institutions. This misalignment raises significant questions about the efficiency and quality of work. Anti-Money Laundering operations analysts are also not normally incentivised to work efficiently or to perform to the highest standard, as their compensation does not typically depend on the speed or quality of resolutions. This can lead to prolonged processes and variable outcomes, which is not conducive to high performance or cost efficiency.

We believe that when Anti-Money Laundering operations analysts are compensated per case completed, they are also motivated to optimise processes for swifter case resolution, thus increasing their earnings. This transformation of analysts into proactive change agents accelerates service delivery, effectively aligning outcomes between analysts and financial institutions through enhanced efficiency.

Successful outsourcing in financial institutions hinges on the adoption of pricing models that align the objectives of analysts with those of the client, ensuring a focus on productivity and quality. An outcomes-based model exemplifies this approach by driving payments based on the achievement of specific outcomes, thus directly correlating compensation with the value delivered.

This model also allows fixed pricing. While not a novel concept, fixed prices in a successful outsourcing framework encapsulate all expected deliverables without excessive contingencies for uncertainty.

A well-designed outcomes-based pricing model also incorporates mechanisms for change control. This allows for adjustments in the agreed terms if the initial case details prove to be less complex or different than anticipated, ensuring fairness and flexibility in the contractual relationship.

Such a model not only fosters transparency but also enhances the efficiency and effectiveness of the outsourcing relationship, ultimately leading to more successful engagements and better alignment of goals between financial institutions and their outsourcing partners.

## CONTRACTS AND CONTRACT MANAGEMENT

Effective outsourcing contracts are comprehensive and detailed, covering several critical aspects to ensure clarity and accountability between financial institutions and outsourcing providers. The contract must clearly define the specific services to be delivered. This precise definition sets aligned expectations, and a mutual understanding of the deliverables covered under the agreement.

Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) are also crucial, as they establish measurable criteria to assess the performance of the outsourcing provider. These KPIs should align with the strategic objectives of the financial institution and be both realistic and achievable to reflect accurately the desired outcomes.

Moreover, the reporting mechanisms stipulated in the contract are vital for maintaining transparency. The frequency, format, and detail of the reports should be clearly defined. These reports are essential not just for tracking progress against the KPIs but also for providing insights into any challenges or bottlenecks that might be affecting performance.

Finally, the contract must rigorously address how non-delivery scenarios are handled, including the repercussions and corrective actions that would follow such events. This could involve penalty clauses, service credits, or specific remedial actions that the provider must undertake. Clearly defined consequences are critical to ensuring that the provider adheres to a high standard of service and remains compliant with the contract specifications. Together, these elements ensure that the outsourcing relationship is managed effectively, fostering a productive partnership that meets the needs of the financial institution.

## CHANGE MANAGEMENT

The contract should establish a clear framework for managing changes and who is responsible for them, such as adjustments in processes or volumes, providing financial institutions and suppliers with predefined guidelines on how to handle these modifications. This structured approach guarantees that both parties can adapt to evolving business needs without experiencing miscommunication or operational disruptions.

## ACTIVE AND REGULAR ENGAGEMENT

Engagements should incorporate day-to-day operational interactions and higher-level oversight. Regular communication and formal escalation paths are necessary to prevent minor issues from escalating into significant problems, ensuring a proactive approach to managing the outsourcing relationship.

## REPORTING

Reporting is usually the responsibility of the supplier, and it is critical that both parties agree on a single structure for reporting. This unified approach prevents the creation of conflicting versions of the truth and ensures that reports highlight both the delivery status and key dependencies effectively.

### QC OUTCOMES

Quality Control (QC) outcomes and learning processes are pivotal components in outsourcing and managed service models. Effective QC mechanisms ensure that the services delivered meet the agreed standards, and consistent evaluation helps in identifying areas for improvement. This systematic assessment leads to valuable learning outcomes, which can guide the development of targeted training programs and micro interventions. These interventions are designed to address specific issues promptly, enhancing overall quality and efficiency.

In the realm of managed service models, these elements become even more critical. Managed services rely on a continuous cycle of assessing, learning, and improving to maintain high levels of service quality. The recruitment processes within these models also need to adapt based on the learning outcomes from ongoing QC measures. This ensures that the workforce is always equipped with the necessary skills and knowledge to meet the evolving demands of the industry.



## CONCLUSION

Outsourcing Anti-Money Laundering functions provides financial institutions with a strategic solution to complex compliance challenges and escalating costs. By engaging specialised providers, institutions can transfer significant operational and legal risks, reduce management burdens, and convert hidden in-house expenses into transparent, fixed costs. This allows them to focus on core competencies and adapt swiftly to regulatory changes without the delays of internal restructuring.

Enforcing robust Service Level Agreements is crucial to ensure accountability and maintain high performance standards in productivity and compliance. While outsourcing operational tasks, institutions must uphold key principles of firm accountability, effective governance, and transparency, retaining ultimate responsibility for compliance and risk management.

In essence, well-managed outsourcing of Anti-Money Laundering functions enhances operational efficiency, offers scalability, and aligns provider incentives with institutional objectives through outcome-based pricing models. This enables financial institutions to concentrate on strategic priorities, improve risk management, and maintain compliance.

## ABOUT NEXUS AML

NEXUS AML are a managed services firm and we have been successfully providing financial crime operational support to regulated institutions since 2017. We are able to operationalise Financial Crime policies and requirements into a repeatable and auditable work product and our skilled offering includes CDD, Screening and Transaction Monitoring (BAU and remediation).

Our innovative Nexus AML platform has been designed to address our clients' primary issues that are cost and quality. We proudly have over 2,500 registered members on our platform and increasing daily.

**Cost:** We pay our analysts per case subject to passing quality. Our clients pay per case for what they need, allowing them to scale up or down as required from 1 analyst to 1000+. This model is ideal for new firms who can access skilled resources when they are needed and for established firms to amplify their teams when needed.

**Quality:** We skill assess our analysts using testing based on case study scenarios and not just multiple choice. This testing approach focusses on skills and aptitude for the work rather than years of experience.

[www.nexusaml.com](http://www.nexusaml.com)



**Book a call with our Director, Rob,  
to find out more about how we can  
help with your operational needs**

**NEXUS AML**